

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA
MARTINSBURG**

**MELISSA MAYLE
for Brian Joseph Hardy,**

Plaintiff,

v.

**Civil Action No. 3:07-CV-105
(Judge Bailey)**

**COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL
SECURITY,**

Defendant.

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

On this day, the above-styled matter came before the Court for consideration of the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge James E. Seibert [Doc. 13] dated March 25, 2008, to which neither party filed objections. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court is required to make a *de novo* review of those portions of the magistrate judge's findings to which objection is made. However, failure to file objections to the magistrate judge's proposed findings and recommendation permits the District Court to review the recommendation under the standards that the District Court believes are appropriate, and under these circumstances, the parties' right to *de novo* review is waived. ***See Webb v. Califano***, 468 F. Supp. 825 (E.D. Cal. 1979).


The docket reflects that Ms. Mayle received the report and recommendation ("R & R") on March 31, 2008. Accordingly, because no objections have been filed, the R & R will be reviewed for clear error. Upon review of the R & R and the record, it is the opinion of this Court that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation [**Doc. 13**] should be, and is, hereby **ORDERED ADOPTED**.

For reasons more fully stated in the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge James E. Seibert [Doc. 13], this Court **ORDERS** that Claimant's Complaint [Doc. 1] be **DENIED** and that this action be **DISMISSED with prejudice** for failing to file a brief in support of her claim within the time specified in the rules and failing to file a brief in support of her claim after being given a notice [Doc. 11] that failure to do so would result in a recommendation the action be dismissed. Further, this case is **ORDERED STRICKEN** from the active docket of this Court.

It is so **ORDERED**.

The Clerk is directed to transmit true copies of this Order to all counsel of record and to the *pro se* plaintiff.

DATED: April 24, 2008.



JOHN PRESTON BAILEY
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE